## Deductive Structure in Geometry and...

Dt. Stan Hartzler Archer City High School

Veterans of decent geometry courses will recall some of the following elements, and perhaps some of the structure as well.

<b>Undefined Terms</b> :	<b>Definitions</b> (from undefined terms):
point	ray, segment, polygon,
line	parallel, perpendicular, skew,
plane	acute, right, obtuse
space between (?)	scalene, isosceles, equilateral,
<b>Unproved Hypotheses</b> (built on	<b>Theorems</b> (built from terms,
experience with undefined and	hypotheses, and other theorems):
defined ideas):	Vertical angles are congruent
Parallel Postulate	All right angles are congruent.
SSS, SAS, ASA $\Delta \cong$	AAS $\Delta \cong$
reflexive, symmetric, transitive	Pythagorean Theorem
corresponding angles are $\cong$ .	Alternate interior angles are $\cong$ .
AA $\Delta \sim$	$\Sigma$ of $\Delta \angle$ measures = 180°
and <u>uncountably</u> many more.	and <i>uncountably</i> many more.

In geometry, attention is given to the notion that <u>all postulates would</u> <u>dearly love to become theorems</u>. There is a weird status system working here, even stranger that the status systems that exist among people.

The effort to turn the Parallel Postulate into a theorem led to other kinds of geometry, the *non-Euclidean geometries*, discussed briefly in ACISD geometry classes.

Attention should be given to the issue of the *uncountable* collection of Euclidean geometry postulates. In an effort to gain some strange status for humanity, many mathematicians have tried to show that mathematics is entirely a human invention.

These efforts failed. A 20<sup>th</sup>-Century German mathematician, Gödel, showed that for any mathematics system, including arithmetic, complete knowledge cannot be obtained deductively with a countable list of assumptions (postulates). Gödel's proof of this idea is rather simple, and gave him rock-star-plus status among great people of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century.

The countable issue is a fun issue. The set of **rational numbers**, while infinite, is a <u>countably</u> infinite set. The set of **irrational numbers** is <u>uncountably</u> infinite. So is the set of geometry postulates.

For most mathematicians, <u>this shoots down the idea that truth in</u> <u>mathematics comes entirely from people</u>. This raises a question: <u>"Then</u> <u>where does it come from?"</u> Some status-seekers are very troubled by this question. Your teacher is not troubled at all -- in fact, delighted -- by that question. DONE.